

## Ottman, Tad

---

**From:** Ottman, Tad  
**Sent:** Monday, June 22, 2015 2:04 PM  
**To:** lucas.Vebber@legis.wisconsin.gov  
**Subject:** FW: Hoping to catch you this morning...

Thoughts?

---

**From:** Hanus, Andrew  
**Sent:** Monday, June 22, 2015 1:33 PM  
**To:** Ottman, Tad  
**Subject:** FW: Hoping to catch you this morning...

Check out what Mike thinks about Section 7. You and I should talk this over..

---

**From:** Gallagher, Michael  
**Sent:** Monday, June 22, 2015 8:02 AM  
**To:** Hanus, Andrew  
**Subject:** Hoping to catch you this morning...

But I know you are busy. I just wanted to mention a couple of things.

1. As a follow-up, Section 7 in LRB-2550 could be unnecessary for the legislature if you think the legislature will just pass a rule or policy that covers drafting records in legislator's files, based on the rule or policy exception in the draft. Also there would be a lot of overlap (for legislators and executive branch agencies and the governor) between the drafting records exception in Section 7 and the deliberative process exception that will be going into the draft on this go-around. Even so, leaving it in probably broadens the ability of executive branch actors to withhold documents under open records. Finally, if the legislative privilege stays in, that provides a good argument that drafting records held by members can be withheld under open records, in addition to the fact that LRB must keep them confidential. So, it's not necessary for the legislature, and I agree with Leg. Council that it would be advisable to take it out, if it's not being specifically asked for by the governor. Your call. Let me know.
2. I want to include an analysis to aide fiscal bureau and members looking at the draft. Let me know if you have an objection to that. It may take a little extra time, but I think it will be helpful.

Thanks.

Mike

Michael P. Gallagher