September 11, 2012

Rep. Pat Strachota
Room 324 East
State Capitol
P.O. Box 8953
Madison, WI 53708

Re: Open Records Request, Wis. Stat. §§ 19.31-19.39

Rep. Strachota -

Pursuant to the state open records law, Wis. Stat. §§ 19.31-19.39, I request access to and a copy of all records containing the words “American Legislative Exchange Council” and/or “ALEC,” including but not limited to correspondence, emails sent and received, memoranda, informational materials, agendas, financial records (including receipts and expense reports), and other records, for the period December 1, 2011 through September 5, 2012. Please note that this request includes all emails sent and received on official email accounts as well as any other email accounts that have been used for official business, and also applies to records that may be in the “trash” folder of these email accounts. Also please note that this request applies to all files or documents downloaded to any computer or hard drive that has been used for official business, including records in the “trash” folder on these computers.

Please produce copies of the records in the most expedient and cost-effective manner possible. If electronic copies on a CD or by email can be produced at a lower cost than paper copies, please provide the copies in such an electronic format.

Please also be aware that the Open Records law “shall be construed in every instance with the presumption of complete public access consistent with the conduct of governmental business. The denial of access generally is contrary to the public interest and only in exceptional cases can access be denied.” If you deny this request, or any part of this request, the law requires you to do so in writing and state what part of the law you believe entitles you to deny this request, or any part of this request. Wis. Stat. § 2219.35(4)(a).

As you know, the law requires you to respond to this request “as soon as practicable and without delay.” Please confirm receipt of this request. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Brendan Fischer
Staff Counsel
Center for Media and Democracy

Nick Surgey
Staff Counsel
Common Cause
From: brendan@prwatch.org
Sent: Tuesday, September 11, 2012 5:03 PM
To: Rep.Strachota
Subject: open records request

Please see attached

--

Brendan M Fischer
Staff Counsel
Center for Media and Democracy
www.prwatch.org

On Wed, Sep 12, 2012 at 9:20 AM, Rep.Strachota:
Rep.Strachota@legis.wisconsin.gov Wrote
Hi Brendan,

We have sent you all records that our office has pertaining to ALEC
beginning with your request back on Dec. 8, 2011 in which you had requested
documentation from Oct. 1, 2010 to Dec. 1, 2011 and your follow up to that
request which was verified by our Assembly Chief Clerk that it was given to
you on Dec. 13, 2011. Our office no longer handles any email correspondence,
receives mail, and does no scheduling for ALEC which has been in effect since
November of 2011. The representative does this on her own personal time.

Thanks,

Heather Moore
Office of Pat Strachota
Office (608) 264-8486
Toll-Free (888) 534-0058

From: brendan@prwatch.org
Sent: Wednesday, September 12, 2012 9:55 AM
To: Rep.Strachota
Cc: Nick Surgey
Subject: Re: open records request

Is this a denial?

Please note that if you deny this request, or any part of this request, the law
requires you to do so in writing and state what part of the law you believe
entitles you to deny this request.

Wis. Stat. § 2219.35(4)(a)

Also note that a record is anything “created or kept in connection with official

Also note that it is the content determines whether a document is a "record," not medium, format, or location. OAG 1-06-09 (December 23, 2009), at 2.1.

--

Brendan M Fischer
Staff Counsel
Center for Media and Democracy
www.prwatch.org

On Wed, Sep 12, 2012 at 10:05 AM, Rep.Strachota:
Rep.Strachota@legis.wisconsin.gov Wrote:

Hi Brendan,
This is not a denial, this office has nothing pertaining to ALEC from the dates in which you have requested.

Heather Moore
Office of Pat Strachota
Office (608) 264-8486
Toll-Free (888) 534-0058

From: brendan@prwatch.org
Sent: Wednesday, September 12, 2012 10:18 AM
To: Rep.Strachota
Cc: Nick Surgey; Fuller, Patrick E.
Subject: Re: open records request

As I noted in my last email, a record for purposes of an open records request is anything “created or kept in connection with official purpose or function of the agency,” regardless of where it is stored.

For clarification, are you asserting that ALEC is not related to the official functions of the legislative office? I will remind you that the request was for all emails sent and received on both official email accounts and any other email accounts used for official business. If you see page three of Attorney General Van Hollen's recently-released open records compliance guide <http://www.doj.state.wi.us/dls/OMPR/2012OMCG-PRO/2012_Pub_Rec_Outline.pdf>
you will note that: "E-mail conducting government business sent or received on the personal e-mail account of an authority’s officer or employee also constitutes a record.”
Finally, I am sure you know that an authority may not destroy a record after receipt of a request for that record until at least sixty days after denial or until related litigation is completed. Wis. Stat. § 19.35(5).

Brendan M Fischer  
Staff Counsel  
Center for Media and Democracy  
www.prwatch.org

Rep.Strachota@legis.wisconsin.gov wrote:

There is nothing in this office.

Heather Moore  
Office of Pat Strachota  
Office (608) 264-8486  
Toll-Free (888) 534-0058

From: "brendan@prwatch.org" <brendan@prwatch.org>  
Date: Friday, September 14, 2012 11:49 AM  
To: "Fuller, Patrick E." <Patrick.Fuller@legis.wisconsin.gov>  
Cc: "BJ.Dernbach@legis.wisconsin.gov" <BJ.Dernbach@legis.wisconsin.gov>,  
"rep.knoodl@legis.wi.gov" <rep.knoodl@legis.wi.gov>,  
"Mark.Florian@legis.wisconsin.gov" <Mark.Florian@legis.wisconsin.gov>,  
"rep.larson@legis.wi.gov" <rep.larson@legis.wi.gov>,  
"Rep.Pridemore@legis.wisconsin.gov" <Rep.Pridemore@legis.wisconsin.gov>,  
"luke.bacher@legis.wi.gov" <luke.bacher@legis.wi.gov>,  
"Rep.August@legis.wisconsin.gov" <Rep.August@legis.wisconsin.gov>,  
"Rep.Strachota@legis.wisconsin.gov" <Rep.Strachota@legis.wisconsin.gov>,  
"Hariah.Hutkowski@legis.wisconsin.gov" <Hariah.Hutkowski@legis.wisconsin.gov>, "Thiesfeldt, Jeremy" <Jeremy.Thiesfeldt@legis.wisconsin.gov>, Nick Surgey <nsurgey@commoncause.org>  
Subject: Responsibilities under Wisconsin Open Records Law

Assembly Chief Clerk Patrick Fuller  
17 West Main St #401  
Madison WI 53703

Mr. Fuller:

It appears that some records custodians in the Assembly are confused about their responsibilities under Wisconsin's Open Records Law. I respectfully ask
that you inform all records custodians that fully complying with the Open Records Law is "an integral part of the routine duties" of their position, as declared in Wis. Stat. § 19.31.

On September 11, we submitted requests to multiple offices for all records containing the term "American Legislative Exchange Council" or the word "ALEC," including for emails sent and received on the Representatives' personal email accounts, whenever those accounts have been used for official government functions. We are not seeking any emails that are purely personal, we are only seeking communications related to ALEC, which are indisputably connected to government functions -- namely, legislation, and planning for meetings where proposed legislation will be discussed.

As you are aware, a "record" for purposes of the Open Records Law does indeed include emails sent on a legislator's personal email account, whenever that account is used for official business.

For example, on page three of Attorney General Van Hollen's recently-released open records compliance guide, you will note that:

"E-mail conducting government business sent or received on the personal e-mail account of an authority's officer or employee also constitutes a record."

The Wisconsin Supreme Court has concluded that "the policy underlying the public records law is not so ephemeral and its mandates are not so easily circumvented" as to allow a government employee to "subvert the purpose of the public records law in seconds and with several strokes on a keyboard simply by logging onto a free personal email account." Schill v. Wisconsin Rapids Sch. Dist., 2010 WI 86 ¶ 156, 327 Wis. 2d 572 ¶ 156, 786 N.W.2d 177, ¶ 156 (Bradley, J., concurring).

It is the content that determines whether a document is a "record," not medium, format, or location. OAG 1-06-09 (December 23, 2009), at 2.1. "In determining whether a document is a record under Wis. Stat. § 19.32(2), the focus is on the content of the document. To be a record under § 19.32(2), the content of the document must have a connection to a government function." Schill v. Wisconsin Rapids Sch. Dist., 2010 WI 86 ¶ 140, 327 Wis. 2d 572 ¶ 140, 786 N.W.2d 177, ¶ (Abrahamson, C.J., lead opinion). A record is anything "created or kept in connection with official purpose or function of the agency." 72 Op. Att'y Gen. 99, 101 (1983); State ex rei. Youmans v. Owens, 28 Wis. 2d 672, 679, 137 N.W.2d 470, 473 (1965).

Some records custodians have asserted that they have no responsibility under Wisconsin's Open Records Law to search a Representative's personal email account for the requested official records. Others have repeatedly issued evasive responses when we have directly asked for confirmation that they conducted a search of personal email accounts. None have issued an official
denial stating what part of the law they believe entitles them to deny this request, as required by Wis. Stat. § 2219.35(4)(a).

I trust that you will inform all Assembly records custodians as to their responsibilities under the Open Records Law.

I have also included those six records custodians who have evaded our requests on this email so there can be no ambiguity or confusion about what the law requires. Further denials, unnecessary delays, or evasive responses from these offices after this point will be construed as willful and intentional, and possibly arbitrary and capricious.

Please note that our next step will be to obtain a court order mandating the release of these records. If the court finds that any of their offices "acted in a willful or intentional manner" in withholding access, the office will be responsible for actual damages. Wis. Stat. § 19.37(2)(b). If the court finds that an office "has arbitrarily and capriciously denied or delayed response to a request," that office will be subject to punitive damages. § 19.37(3).

Sincerely,

Brendan Fischer
SBN 1089027

CC:
BJ Dernbach (Office of Representative Dan Knodl)
Mark Florian (Office of Representative Tom Larson)
Bill Savage (Office of Representative Don Pridemore)
Luke Bacher (Office of Representative Tyler August)
Heather Moore (Office of Representative Pat Strachota)
Hariah Hutkowski (Office of Representative Jeremy Thiesfeldt)

Nick Surgey (Common Cause)

--

Brendan M Fischer
Staff Counsel
Center for Media and Democracy
www.prwatch.org

From: brendan@prwatch.org
Sent: Monday, September 17, 2012 11:14 AM
To: Rep.Strachota
Cc: Nick Surgey; Fuller, Patrick E.
Subject: Re: open records request
Ms. Moore --

Please let us know the status of this request.

--

Brendan M Fischer
Staff Counsel
Center for Media and Democracy
www.prwatch.org