any individual responsible for the abuse or neglect” and insert: “information that would aid law enforcement in the investigation. (E) A person is not required to provide notice under Subsection (A) of this Section: (1) In violation of attorney-client privilege the privilege; or (2) If the notice would disclose matter communicated in confidence by a client to the client’s attorney or other information relating to the representation of the client; or (3) In violation of any constitutional right to assistance of counsel. (F) A minister of the gospel, clergymen, or priest of an established church of any denomination is not required to provide notice under Subsection (A) of this Section if the notice would disclose matter in relation to any communication under the protection of privilege and: (1) The communication was made to the minister, clergymen, or priest in a professional character in the course of discipline enjoined by the church to which the minister, clergymen, or priest belongs; and (2) The minister, clergymen, or priest is bound to maintain the confidentiality of that communication under canon law, church doctrine, or practice. (G) Notification of other agency and cooperative agreements: (1) An agency to which a report of suspected abuse is made under Subsection (A) of this section shall immediately notify the other agency. (2) This subsection does not prohibit a local department and an appropriate law enforcement agency from agreeing to cooperative arrangements.”

(7) Lines 88-154, strike: Section 3
(8) Line 156, insert: “, Immunities and Reporting” after “Penalties”
(9) Lines 156-157, strike: “An individual who fails to comply with the criteria for reporting abuse or neglect as outlined in Sections 2 or 3 shall be charged with a misdemeanor” and insert: “ (A) Except as otherwise specified, all reporting requirements, penalties, and immunities shall be identical to those imposed on other individuals mandated to report. (B) A person who reports abuse or neglect as required by this Act is immune from civil liability unless the report made is knowingly false.

Motion to approve amendments (1-9); passed the public sector unanimously; Amendments Passed.

Motion to adopt the model legislation; passed the public sector unanimously; Bill Passed.

2. “ALEC Resolution in Support of the Electoral College” – by Mr. Ray Haynes, National Popular Vote

Amendments in order of introduction:
(1) Line 2, strike: “national popular vote” and insert: “direct vote of the people”
(2) Lines 15-17, strike: “(insert state)’s electoral votes are awarded based on how the majority of the State’s citizens vote” and insert: “each state’s legislature determines the rules under which its electors will be appointed”

(3) Lines 18-24, strike: “under the National Popular Vote Interstate Compact, (insert state)’s electoral votes could be awarded to a candidate that a majority of the State’s citizens did not vote for” and insert: “over the years, the state legislatures of the various states have maintained control of the process by which its electors have been appointed, and have changed that process many times based on the considered decisions of the various state legislature, including today, where the means by which electors are appointed are not uniform in the fifty states;”

(4) Line 35, strike: “popular vote” and insert: “direct vote of the people”

(5) Lines 36-38, strike: “WHEREAS, the constitutionality of the National Popular Vote Interstate Compact is questionable because Article I, Section 10 of the Constitution states that no state, without the consent of Congress, may “enter into any Agreement or Compact with another State.””

(6) Lines 40 and 41, insert: “, which preserves control over the system of appointing electors by the state legislature”

(7) Lines 43-45, strike: “creates a multi-state compact for the purpose of dismantling its current Electoral College System” and insert: “attempts to take that power away from the state legislature, or remove control of elections from the states”

(8) Strike: “WHEREAS, the current Electoral College system ensures that (insert state)’s electoral votes are awarded based on how the majority of the State’s citizens vote;”

Motion to pass Amendments (1-7); No second; Amendments Failed.

Motion to pass Amendment (8); Passed the public sector; Passed the private sector; Amendment Passed.

Motion to adopt the model legislation as amended; passed the public sector; passed the private sector; Bill Passed as Amended.

3. Repeal: “ALEC Resolution in Opposition to the National Popular Vote” – by Mr. Ray Haynes, National Popular Vote Withdrawn by sponsor.

4. “Resolution in Support of the National Popular Vote Interstate Compact” – by Mr. Ray Haynes, National Popular Vote